United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,887	11/28/2005	Thomas Peter John Garrett	051654-0106	7746
	7590 01/15/2008		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500		•	STEADMA	N, DAVID J
3000 K STREE	TREET NW IGTON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASIIINGTO	N, DC 20007		1656	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/529,887	GARRETT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		David J. Steadman	1656		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[∑]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ehruary 2006	•		
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
•	Claim(s) 47-64 is/are pending in the application	nn			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
•	Claim(s) <u>47-64</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
•	on Papers				
• •	•		·		
	The specification is objected to by the Examino		w the Eveminer		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
		xammer. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-132.		
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Burea				
. * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not r	received.		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date formal Patent Application		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)				

Application/Control Number: 10/529,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 47-64 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 4/1/05, is acknowledged.
- [3] Receipt of information disclosure statements, filed on 4/1/05 and 3/13/06, is acknowledged. In the interest of compact prosecution, it is noted that copies of references A2 to A13 of the IDS filed on 4/1/05 do not appear to have been filed in the instant application.
- [4] Receipt of a substitute Declaration under 37 CFR 1.63, filed on 11/28/05, is acknowledged.
- [5] Receipt of a substitute sequence listing in computer readable form (CRF), a paper copy thereof, a statement of their sameness, and a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, all filed on 2/28/06, is acknowledged. In order to perfect the requirements for a sequence listing, applicant is required to submit an amendment directing entry of the sequence listing paper copy filed on 2/28/06 into the specification.

Lack of Unity

[6] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1656

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 47-59, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for identifying a potential modulator compound for ErbB2.

Group II, claim(s) 60-62, drawn to the special technial feature of a crystal of an ErbB2 polypeptide.

Group III, claim(s) 63-64, drawn to the special technial feature of an antibody that binds to an ErbB2 polypeptide.

[7] The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-III is an ErbB2 polypeptide. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason(s):

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group I is a method for identifying a potential modulator compound for ErbB2, which is shown by Shak et al. (WO 99/31140; cited in the IDS filed on 4/1/05 and cited in the corresponding search report for PCT/AU03/01310) to lack novelty or inventive step because the reference teaches design of antibodies 7C2 and 7F3 by epitope mapping that bind to amino acids 22-53 of ErbB2 (Figure 2) and testing the effects of antibody binding to ErbB2, e.g., by cell death assay (p. 19, middle). Thus, the shared same or coresponding special technical feature of Groups I-III is not a contribution over the prior art.

[8] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1656

[9] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

[10] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims

Application/Control Number: 10/529,887 Page 5

Art Unit: 1656

and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1656